



SUBSTANSI

SUMBER ARTIKEL AKUNTANSI AUDITING DAN KEUANGAN

Substansi

ISSN: 2598-0106 (print)
ISSN: 2620-9853 (online)

Artikel 5

Volume 9, Nomor 1,
Tahun 2025

30-06-2025

Integration of NLP, AI-Driven Data Analysis, Risk Assessment, and Electronic Whistle-Blowing Systems in Fraud Detection

Chelsea Tan,

Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

Calrsen Cyntia*

Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

Bambang Leo Handoko

Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

* *Corresponding Author: calrsen.cyntia001@binus.ac.id*

Dimasukkan:

08-05-2025

Direvisi:

16-06-2025

Diterima:

30-06-2025

Sitasi:

Chelsea Tan, Calrsen Cyntia & Bambang Leo Handoko. Integration of NLP, AI-Driven Data Analysis, Risk Assessment, and Electronic Whistle-Blowing Systems in Fraud Detection. *Substansi: Sumber Artikel Akuntansi Auditing Dan Keuangan* Vokasi, 9(1), 41-55. <https://doi.org/10.35837/subs.v9i1.3311>

<https://jurnal.pknstan.ac.id/index.php/SUBS/article/view/3209>



Artikel ini diterbitkan secara gratis dan terbuka oleh Program Diploma III Akuntansi, Politeknik Keuangan Negara STAN. Naskah ini telah diterima untuk dimasukkan ke dalam Jurnal Substansi: Sumber Akuntansi, Auditing, dan Keuangan oleh Tim Editor resmi jurnal.

Integration of NLP, AI-Driven Data Analysis, Risk Assessment, and Electronic Whistle-Blowing Systems in Fraud Detection

Chelsea Tan, Calrsen Cyntia, Bambang Leo Handoko

¹ Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

² Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

³ Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

Chelsea.tan@binus.ac.id¹, calrsen.cyntia001@binus.ac.id², Bambang.handoko@binus.edu³

Abstract

The rapid development of technology in industry 4.0 today has encouraged the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT) and big data in helping the operations of various industrial sectors, especially in the start-up sector. This study aims to determine whether there are factors such as *Natural Language Processing*, *AI-Driven data analysis*, risk assessment, and *electronic whistle-blowing systems* that will affect the way the system detects fraud, and to determine whether these factors cause several start-up companies to use the integration of AI, NLP, and E-WBS to accelerate the fraud disclosure process. This study involved 113 employee respondents who worked in start-up companies. The results of the respondent data were processed using SMART-PLS 4.0 which involved the reliability and validity methods, discriminant, r-square adjusted, and outer loading. The results of the study showed that *Natural Language Processing*, *AI-Driven data analysis*, risk assessment, and *Electronic Whistle Blowing Systems* did have a positive impact or increase the accuracy of fraud disclosure in real-time, effectively and efficiently.

Keyword: *AI-Driven Data Analysis*, *Natural Language Processing*, *Whistle-Blowing Systems*

INTRODUCTION

Research Background

In recent periods, technology has developed very rapidly in everyday life and made industrial areas change drastically. In industry 4.0, many business operations have combined the main functions of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT) and big data automation to create a more efficient business operational environment (Javaid et al., 2022). This combination of functions opens many opportunities and innovations, which are marked by the emergence of many startups (e.g. Gojek, Tokopedia, Ovo, Dana, and Kredivo), especially in the financial sector that use financial technology (FinTech). FinTech enhances business efficiency, reduces costs, and improves customer satisfaction by enabling faster digital transactions (i.e., QRIS), benefiting SMEs in particular (Anwuli Nkemchor Obiki-Osafiele et al., 2024). However, this also causes negative impacts, such as the potential for fraud in the form of data falsification, account hijacking, phishing, and lack of transparency

in financial reports based on peer-to-peer lending which does not yet have clear accounting standards (Oktaviani & Dewi, 2023). This negative impact will certainly lead to asset misappropriation or fraudulent financial reporting, which will harm many parties such as companies, investors, creditors, customers, and regulators. Therefore, it is important for companies and regulators to implement effective fraud detection systems to mitigate potential fraud from the start.

In the digital era, leveraging technology for fraud detection has become essential. Traditional methods often lack the efficiency and accuracy that artificial intelligence (AI) can offer. AI techniques can adapt to evolving fraud tactics and identify hidden patterns in real-time (Jain & Patil, 2024). AI-driven data analysis and AI-driven risk assessment enable organizations to detect anomalies and potential fraud more effectively. Natural Language Processing (NLP) enhances fraud detection by analyzing textual data, identifying suspicious patterns in reports, and improving investigative processes (Qatawneh, 2024). Additionally, an electronic whistle-blowing system (e-WBS) ensures a more secure and confidential reporting mechanism, allowing whistleblowers to submit evidence – such as photos, videos, and audio recordings – without compromising their anonymity. Despite these advancements, AI-based fraud detection remains underutilized. Research has shown that integrating these technologies significantly improves fraud detection accuracy and efficiency. Therefore, adopting AI-driven solutions and secure digital reporting mechanisms is crucial for strengthening fraud detection systems.

While recent advancements in AI-driven fraud detection systems demonstrate significant potential, several key limitations persist across current research. In Qatawneh (2024), examination of NLP's moderating role in AI-driven auditing systems fails to integrate whistle-blowing mechanisms with AI detection capabilities and inadequately explores practical NLP implementation challenges in real-world fraud scenarios. Yang et al. (2023) discusses the FinChain-BERT model that despite its novel architecture and high accuracy, it faces scalability constraints due to substantial computational demands that could hinder real-time detection applications, while its effectiveness remains unverified for non-financial fraud contexts. Boulieris et al. (2023) addressed dataset scarcity through their public release, encountered detection challenges from excessive data anonymization that restricted access to crucial financial indicators, compounded by their reduced reliance on traditional features despite compensating with NLP techniques. The limited number of studies specifically addressing the application of NLP in fraud detection highlights the need for further research, motivating the development of a study focused on the integration of NLP, AI-driven data analysis, AI-driven risk assessment, and whistle-blowing systems in fraud detection.

Based on the limitations identified in previous research, the researcher plans to further explore what factors will influence the system's way of detecting fraud from the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Whistle-Blowing System. Researchers also want to examine further the reasons why several company operations choose to use Artificial Intelligence and Natural Language Processing which are then integrated using a Whistle-Blowing System to

speed up the fraud disclosure process. In detecting fraud, this research offers a new, more promising solution, namely by synergizing AI, NLP, and a whistle-blowing system. This step is expected to produce a system that is sophisticated, and relevant to the needs of the times. This research will provide contributions to several parties, such as practitioners who can use it as a reference for developing a more sophisticated and effective fraud detection system with the integration of AI, NLP, and Whistle Blowing System. This research can provide knowledge about how AI can be used effectively and efficiently to detect fraud for start-ups and companies that want to improve their internal monitoring systems. Apart from that, for the academic community it is to enrich the literature in the field of forensic accounting and fraud examination regarding new perspectives in the integration of artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and electronic whistle blowing systems in disclosing fraud.

Research Question

Based on the background of the research, the research questions that the researcher will test in this study are as follows:

- 1) Does Natural Language Processing (NLP) affect fraud detection?
- 2) Does AI-driven data analysis affect fraud detection?
- 3) Does Does AI-driven risk assessment affect fraud detection?
- 4) Does the electronic whistle-blowing system affect fraud detection?

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

Artificial Intelligence and Natural Language Processing

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the simulation of human intelligence in machines, enabling them to perform tasks that typically require human cognitive abilities (Morandín-Ahuerma, 2022). AI systems use machine learning algorithms to develop cognitive abilities and perform tasks autonomously or semi-autonomously. As AI advances, it is improving efficiency and accuracy in complex tasks. This technology continues to evolve, promising significant impacts across various domains. AI encompasses various technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and robotics (Mochihashi, 2021). NLP aims to bridge the gap between human communication and computer comprehension by developing models and algorithms capable of analyzing and interpreting natural language data. Key techniques in NLP include tokenization, part-of-speech tagging, named entity recognition, sentiment analysis, machine translation, and text classification (Vanita, 2024). NLP has applications in various fields, such as computational linguistics, email spam detection, information extraction, summarization, and question answering (Anam et al., 2021). Recent advancements in deep learning, particularly neural networks like CNNs and RNNs, have significantly improved NLP system performance. The field has evolved rapidly due to the availability of large datasets, increased computing power, and sophisticated machine learning models.

AI-driven risk assessment and data analytics have revolutionized fraud detection in various industries, particularly in finance. These technologies leverage machine learning algorithms and advanced analytics to process vast amounts of data rapidly, identifying patterns and anomalies that may indicate fraudulent activities. Unlike traditional methods relying on historical data and static models, AI-driven approaches offer real-time insights and continuously learn from latest information, providing dynamic and precise risk evaluations (Ebere Ruth Onwubuariri et al., 2024). This capability enables financial institutions to enhance their fraud detection accuracy, optimize decision-making processes, and adopt a more proactive approach to risk management.

AI's ability to analyze large datasets comprehensively surpasses human limitations, allowing for more efficient resource allocation by focusing on high-risk areas. However, challenges related to data quality, availability, privacy, and ethical considerations persist, necessitating robust governance frameworks for AI implementation in fraud detection. Despite these challenges, AI-driven risk assessment and data analytics significantly improve operational efficiency and decision-making in fraud detection, with potential applications across various sectors including finance, healthcare, and manufacturing (Analytics et al., 2022).

Electronic Whistle Blowing System

Whistle Blowing System (WBS) is a system used by company operations to mitigate fraud, by receiving anonymous complaints of suspicion by employees, vendors, customers, investors, and other stakeholders. Scherbarth & Behringer (2021) indicates that the whistleblowing system is one of the company's internal instruments that is highly recommended by academics and practitioners to prevent and detect compliance violations committed by company personnel. However, in today's sophisticated digital world, company operations need to implement a digital-based whistleblowing system, which can reduce anonymity and the risk of data leakage. Therefore, many companies have implemented a digital-based whistleblowing system, such as using websites, chatbots, blockchain, and artificial intelligence, or what we now know as the Electronic Whistle Blowing System (EWBS).

EWBS or commonly known as Electronic Whistle Blowing System, is a digital version of the whistleblowing system, which uses the help of sophisticated technology today to report suspected potential fraud through a special platform. Berendt & Schiffner (2022) indicates that in today's digital era, reporting using a whistleblowing system experiences many risks and challenges, such as the limitations of anonymity that can be provided by the system, and the possibility of consequences from outsourcing used to reveal fraud to the public. Many company operations also move from the conventional whistleblowing system to the electronic whistleblowing system, because EWBS can respond faster or in real-time than WBS. In addition, EWBS uses encryption, or data is converted into a code that cannot be read by the public without a description key that explains it, so that the security and confidentiality of the reporter are more guaranteed. Fraud reporters only need to upload evidence in the form of photos, voice recordings, or videos which will later be able to

immediately detect the fraud pattern using big data or AI. Cloud-based data storage in EWBS makes the storage costs incurred by several companies cheaper, making it attractive in the eyes of company stakeholders.

Many company operations use artificial intelligence alone to detect fraud by monitoring user patterns and profiles but can only reduce fraud by 40% (Mhatre, 2024). This shows that by only using AI, company operations will not be able to understand someone's motives for carrying out a transaction or action, because AI only works based on existing patterns and historical data. Therefore, AI must be combined with EWBS to improve the accuracy of fraud detection and effectively reduce the occurrence of fraud cases by a larger percentage. The use of AI in collaboration with EWBS can increase fraud accuracy, because it will capture fraud reports in real-time which will later be aligned with predetermined acceptance criteria, and this has succeeded in overcoming 90% of reports within 48 hours (Reznikov, 2024). Artificial Intelligence will identify reports sent anonymously via EWBS by matching them to similar incidents that have occurred before, so that the response given will be faster, increase accuracy, increase protection from the reporter, and make operational performance more efficient.

Natural Language Processing and Fraud Detection

Natural Language Processing (NLP) has emerged as a significant tool in fraud detection, particularly within the financial and insurance sectors. (Qatawneh, 2024) indicates that NLP, when integrated with AI and machine learning, can enhance fraud detection accuracy and efficiency. This is reinforced by research by (Hermawan & Novita, 2021) stating that NLP's ability to analyze textual data enables the identification of linguistic patterns associated with fraudulent behavior, improving the detection of deceptive practices in various contexts, including news media. In insurance, NLP facilitates the automated analysis of written claims, identifying keywords and phrases indicative of potential fraud (Saddi et al., 2023). The integration of NLP with other advanced technologies has shown promising results, including a 30% increase in fraud detection accuracy and a 40% improvement in compliance documentation processing efficiency (Iga Daniel Ssetimba et al., 2024). These advancements suggest that NLP plays a crucial role in modernizing fraud detection strategies across multiple industries. Based on this explanation, we formulate the first hypothesis as follows:

H₁: Natural Language Processing Significantly Affects Fraud Detection

AI-Driven Data Analysis and Fraud Detection

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing financial fraud detection by offering more accurate, scalable, and adaptive systems compared to traditional methods (Adhikari et al., 2024). Some parties assume that the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) will reduce the detection of fraud that does not actually exist, because there are adjustments to the algorithm that can reduce errors. Artificial intelligence can process large amounts of data on a fast time scale, allowing for real-time handling, which creates more accurate and timely information (Shah, 2024). Several other studies also say that (Davis & Harris, 2024) Artificial Intelligence

can increase the accuracy of fraud detection and reduce false positives or false negatives in the financial industry.

And so, we formulate the second hypothesis as follows:

H₂: AI-Driven Data Analysis Significantly Affects Fraud Detection

AI-Driven Risk Assessment and Fraud Detection

AI-driven risk assessment models significantly improve real-time fraud detection and adaptability to evolving fraud patterns, with one study reporting a 98.7% detection accuracy and the ability to process over 5 million transactions per second (Kalluri, 2024). These technologies enable financial institutions to process vast amounts of data rapidly, identifying patterns and anomalies that might be missed by human analysts. AI-driven approaches offer dynamic and precise risk evaluations, continuously updating and learning from added information, which is particularly beneficial in credit scoring and default prediction as stated by (Ikudabo & Kumar, 2024). In auditing, AI enhances strategic planning by providing real-time insights and allowing auditors to focus on high-risk areas (Ebere Ruth Onwubuariri et al., 2024). Based on this explanation, we formulate the third hypothesis as follows:

H₃: AI-Driven Risk Assessment Significantly Affects Fraud Detection

Electronic Whistle Blowing System and Fraud Detection

Criminal acts involving fraud cases have not yet decreased in various countries, and in fact are still increasing with various new modes used by the perpetrators (Hamilah et al., 2022). In this era of digital technology, company operations must implement a sophisticated, effective, and efficient electronic violation reporting system to spread fraud cases. (Nwakeze et al., 2023) shows that 30% of companies throughout the world experience fraud because of their own employees or company management. Researchers also indicated that electronic violation reporting systems are important in detecting and spreading fraud and preventing violations of the law. Apart from that, the use of an electronic whistleblowing system as a system that will detect fraud is believed to be a system that can help auditors to carry out investigative audit activities, because the incoming report will be the first step to prevent violations (Hamilah et al., 2022). So, to find out more about whether the use of EWBS an effective way is to detect fraud, we formulated the fourth hypothesis:

H₄: Electronic Whistle Blowing System Significantly Affect Fraud Detection

RESEARCH METHOD

Criminal acts involving fraud cases have not yet decreased in various countries, and in fact are still increasing with various new modes used by the perpetrators (Hamilah et al., 2022). In this era of digital technology, company operations must implement a sophisticated, effective, and efficient electronic violation reporting system to spread fraud cases. (Nwakeze et al., 2023) shows that 30% of companies throughout the world experience fraud because of

their own employees or company management. Researchers also indicated that electronic violation reporting systems are important in detecting and spreading fraud and preventing violations of the law. Apart from that, the use of an electronic whistleblowing system as a system that will detect fraud is believed to be a system that can help auditors to carry out investigative audit activities, because the incoming report will be the first step to prevent violations (Hamilah et al., 2022). So, to find out more about whether the use of EWBS an effective way is to detect fraud, we formulated the fourth hypothesis:

H₄: Electronic Whistle Blowing System Significantly Affect Fraud Detection

Operation of Variable

To ensure the variables in this study are clearly defined and quantitatively measurable, we operationalize them based on predetermined standards. Table 1 presents the operationalization of variables to facilitate a structured quantitative assessment.

Table 1. Operation of Variable

Variable	Indicator	Reference
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NLP algorithms effectively extract relevant features from unstructured text data in fraud detection cases. 2. NLP models improve the system’s ability to classify fraudulent patterns in textual data. 3. NLP systems demonstrate high accuracy in detecting inconsistencies in reported cases based on textual analysis. 	(Sharma, 2023)
AI-Driven Data Analysis (ADA)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The system integrates large datasets from diverse sources to identify fraud patterns. 2. AI-driven algorithms continuously analyze data in real time to detect anomalies indicative of fraudulent activities. 3. The AI system can predict potential fraud incidents based on historical and real-time data patterns. 	(Analytics et al., 2022)
AI-Driven Risk Assessment (ARA)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The AI model assesses potential risks by evaluating financial transactions and behaviors indicating fraud. 2. Employees believe that the AI system can accurately assess the level of risk in each fraud case based on historical data and trends. 	(Kalluri, 2024)

	3. The AI risk assessment system provides real-time risk scores to prioritize fraud investigations.	
Electronic Whistleblowing System (EWS)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Effectiveness of the whistleblowing system 2. How to report fraud 3. Whistleblowing system benefits 	(Handoko et al., 2024)
Fraud Detection (FD)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The AI system demonstrates a high accuracy rate in identifying fraudulent transactions in financial systems. 2. The system’s fraud detection capabilities are assessed based on its ability to minimize false positives and negatives. 3. The system’s fraud detection performance improves with continuous learning and feedback from human investigators. 	(Adhikari et al., 2024)

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Identity of Respondents

From the questionnaire distributed on social media, we have obtained 113 answers from respondents (see table 2). Based on table 2, it can be concluded that most of the respondents from the researcher's study were men who worked as IT Security or Cybersecurity with an age range of 21-30 years old.

Table 2. Identity of Respondents

Gender	Amount	Age	Amount	Position	Amount
Male	68	21-30 years	52	Founder/Co-Founder	13
Female	45	31-40 years	18	CEO/C-Level Executive	14
		41-50 years	19	Head of Department	16
		51-60 years	20	Data Analyst	23
		>61 years	4	IT Security/Cybersecurity	25

Fraud Analyst	14
Operation Intern	1
Software Engineer	1
Marketing	1
Finance Officer	1
Developer	1
Mandarin Teacher	1
Staff	1
Business Development	1

Outer Model Test

The outer model test or commonly known as outer loading using SMART-PLS is carried out with the aim of finding out how well the research indicators represent latent variables. Latent variable is a variable that cannot be measured directly, but can be measured with the help of other variables/indicators (van Bork et al., 2021)

According to Imam Ghozali in using SMART-PLS, the value that reflects that the indicators in the study are highly correlated is ideally 0.70 or higher indicating that the indicators represent the latent variables strongly, 0.50-0.70 indicating that the representation of the latent variables is still acceptable, and below 0.50 indicates a weak representation (Subhaktiyasa, 2024). Therefore, the researcher has removed several indicators (FD3 and FD8) that do not meet the requirements and ensured that all indicators in this study are considered to represent the existing latent variables (refer to table 3).

Table 3. Outer Model Test

Indicator	Outer Loading								
ADA.1	0.751	ARA.1	0.667	EWS.1	0.746	FD.1	0.545	NLP.1	0.771
ADA.2	0.691	ARA.2	0.774	EWS.2	0.783	FD.2	0.796	NLP.2	0.764

ADA.3	0.734	ARA.3	0.838	EWS.3	0.656	FD.4	0.635	NLP.3	0.734
ADA.4	0.830	ARA.4	0.790	EWS.4	0.773	FD.5	0.714	NLP.4	0.744
ADA.5	0.778	ARA.5	0.828	EWS.5	0.753	FD.6	0.730	NLP.5	0.770
ADA.6	0.747	ARA.6	0.787	EWS.6	0.654	FD.7	0.808	NLP.6	0.757
ADA.7	0.762	ARA.7	0.808	EWS.7	0.779	FD.9	0.834	NLP.7	0.755
ADA.8	0.797	ARA.8	0.772	EWS.8	0.789			NLP.8	0.782
ADA.9	0.722	ARA.9	0.781	EWS.9	0.707			NLP.9	0.738
								NLP.10	0.742

Convergent Validity

Convergent validity is used to ensure that the variables measured by several existing indicators are correlated with each other and can increase accuracy in research (Cheung et al., 2024). It is said that indicators are highly correlated in convergent validity if the AVE and Rho_C values meet the minimum values ≥ 0.50 - ≥ 0.70 . In this study, the indicators used by researchers have reached values >0.80 - >0.90 which indicates that the existing indicators have represented the research model very well (refer to table 4).

Table 4. Convergent Validity

Variable	Composite Reliability (Rho-C)	Average Variance Extracted
ARA	0.935	0.615
ADA	0.931	0.574
NLP	0.930	0.571
EWS	0.915	0.547
FD	0.887	0.532

Discriminant Validity

Discriminant validity assesses the ability of measurement instruments and theoretical concepts to differentiate between attributes that should not be measured together. It involves comparing correlations between measures after accounting for measurement error (Rönkkö & Cho, 2022). This study presents the discriminant validity through the Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT) in Table 5.

HTMT can detect potential indiscrimination among latent variables that may be missed by other methods (Rönkkö & Cho, 2022). If the HTMT value is below the recommended HTMT threshold of 0.85, then the discriminant validity is achieved (Cheung et al., 2024).

Table 5 indicates that the variables' HTMT are below the 0.85 threshold. This demonstrates that the model has successfully passed the discriminant validity test.

Table 5. Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio

Variable	AI-Driven Data Analysis	AI-Driven Risk Assessment	Electronic Whistleblowing System	Fraud Detection	Natural Language Processing
AI-Driven Data Analysis					
AI-Driven Risk Assessment	0.279				
Electronic Whistleblowing System	0.121	0.157			
Fraud Detection	0.577	0.492	0.417		
Natural Language Processing	0.143	0.133	0.161	0.574	

Hypothesis Testing

It is a fundamental statistical method used to draw conclusions about population parameters based on sample data. This process involves comparing the computed t-value with the critical t-value from a table. The alternative hypothesis is accepted if the computed t-value

exceeds 1.96 and the p-value is less than 0.05 (Mhatre, 2024). The original samples refer to the initial data set collected from the population. The hypothesis testing results for this study are presented in Table 6.

To arrive at the hypothesis testing, the researchers have used SMART PLS to calculate the data, as shown in Figure 1. The data in Table 7 reveal that all hypotheses significantly impact fraud detection. The P-values – 7.593, 8.883, 7.025, and 10.045 – are all greater than 1.96, and the p-values for each variable are below 0.05. This indicates that natural language processing, AI-driven data analysis, AI-driven risk assessment, and electronic whistleblowing system all have a significant effect on fraud detection. As a result, hypotheses H1, H2, H3, and H4 can be accepted. Additionally, the coefficient of determination shown in Table 7 reveals that the adjusted R-squared value is 75.1%, indicating that the model has a high explanatory power, with an R2 above 50% (Chicco et al, 2021).

Figure 1.




Table 6. Hypothesis Testing

Variable	Original Sample	T Statistics	P Values
H ₁ : Natural Language Processing Significantly Affects Fraud Detection	0.373	7.593	0.000
H ₂ : AI-Driven Data Analysis Significantly Affects Fraud Detection	0.415	8.883	0.000
H ₃ : AI-Driven Risk Assessment Significantly Affects Fraud Detection	0.389	7.025	0.000
H ₄ : Electronic Whistle Blowing System Significantly Affect Fraud Detection	0.442	10.045	0.000
R-Square/R-Square Adjusted	0.760/0.751		

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Based on the results of data processing obtained in chapter 4, researchers can conclude that the integration of NLP, AI-Driven Data Analysis, Risk Assessment, and Electronic Whistle-Blowing System will affect the results of fraud detection. All existing indicators, namely Natural Language Processing, AI-Risk Assessment, AI-Driven Data Analysis, Electronic Whistle Blowing Systems and Fraud Detection have a fairly strong correlation with each other, so that integration will produce a more sophisticated, effective, and efficient system, and in accordance with the needs of the current era of technology. NLP has been proven to increase accuracy in identifying fraud patterns in information, AI-Driven Data Analysis can help process data that contains indications of fraud in large volumes in real-time, and risk assessments have been proven to increase more accurate predictions for historical and current data, and E-WBS which can be a more efficient and secure reporting channel for reporters.

This research primarily focuses on fraud detection within startup companies, offering insights and strategies that can be directly applied to this sector. However, the findings and methodologies discussed are not limited to startups alone. The frameworks and conclusions presented in this study are also relevant to other industries, as fraud detection remains a critical concern across various business environments. Hence, it can serve as a valuable reference for organizations in diverse sectors seeking to strengthen their fraud detection mechanisms.

Although this research provides empirical evidence that natural language processing, AI-driven data analysis, AI-driven risk assessment, and electronic whistleblowing system significantly impact fraud detection, there remains potential to explore additional factors

which make up the 24.9% that could further detect fraud, which could be explored. Furthermore, this study could serve as a foundation for future research with a larger sample size to offer a more accurate representation of fraud detection.

REFERENCES

Journal

- Adhikari, P., Hamal, P., & Jnr, F. B. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in fraud detection : Revolutionizing financial security. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 13(01), 1148-1472.
- Analytics, D., Applications, I., & Analytics, P. (2022). AI-DRIVEN DATA ANALYTICS AND AUTOMATION: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE. *Journal Oof Strategic Data Management and Innovation*, 02(01), 21-40. <https://doi.org/10.71292/sdmi.v2i01.9>
- Anam, A., Ali, S., & Shandilya, V. K. (2021). AI-Natural Language Processing (NLP). *International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 9(August), 1-8.
- Anwuli Nkemchor Obiki-Osafiele, Edith Ebele Agu, & Njideka Rita Chiekezie. (2024). Fintech integration in Small and Medium Enterprises: Enhancing economic resilience and operational efficiency. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(8), 1485-1500. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i8.1435>
- Berendt, B., & Schiffner, S. (2022). Whistleblower protection in the digital age - why "anonymous" is not enough. *The International Review of Information Ethics*, 31(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.29173/irief479>
- Boulieris, P., Pavlopoulos, J., Xenos, A., & Vassalos, V. (2023). Fraud detection with natural language processing. *Machine Learning*, 113(8), 5087-5108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-023-06354-5>
- Cheung, G. W., Cooper-Thomas, H. D., Lau, R. S., & Wang, L. C. (2024). Reporting reliability, convergent and discriminant validity with structural equation modeling: A review and best-practice recommendations. In *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* (Vol. 41, Issue 2). Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-023-09871-y>
- Davis, N. A., & Harris, S. A. (2024). Leveraging Machine Learning Models for Real-Time Fraud Detection in Financial Transactions. *International Journal of Computer Technology and Science*, 1(1), 1-6.
- Ebere Ruth Onwubuariri, Beatrice Oyinkansola Adelakun, Omolara Patricia Olaiya, & Joseph Elikem Kofi Ziorklui. (2024). AI-Driven risk assessment: Revolutionizing audit planning and execution. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(6), 1069-1090. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1236>
- Hair, J., & Alamer, A. (2022). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example.
-

- Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, 1(3), 1–16.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmal.2022.100027>
- Hamilah, H., Suratman, A., & Alam, S. (2022). The Effectiveness of the Internal Whistleblowing System in Fraud Detection and Prevention (Empirical Study at PT Asuransi Jiwasraya (Persero)). *Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting*, 3(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.38035/dijefa.v2i6.1142>
- Handoko, B. L., Febriyenne, E. C., & Ayuanda, N. (2024). Enhancing Fraud Prevention : Exploring the Interplay of Internal Control System , Organizational Culture , Internal Audit Roles and Online Whistleblowing Mechanisms. *2024 6th Asia Conference on Machine Learning and Computing (ACMLC 2024), July 2628, 2024, Bangkok, Thailand*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3690771.3690783>
- Hermawan, A., & Novita. (2021). Asia Pacific Fraud Journal. *Asia Pacific Fraud Journal*, 4(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.21532/apfjournal.v9i2.333>
- Iga Daniel Ssetimba, Jimmy Kato, Eria Othieno Pinyi, Evans Twineamatsiko, Harriet Norah Nakayenga, & Eudis Muhangi. (2024). Advancing electronic communication Compliance and fraud detection Through Machine Learning, NLP and generative AI: A Pathway to Enhanced Cybersecurity and Regulatory Adherence. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 23(2), 697–707. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.2.2364>
- Ikudabo, A. O., & Kumar, P. (2024). AI-Driven Risk Assessment and Management in Banking: Balancing Innovation and Security International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews AI-Driven Risk Assessment and Management in Banking : Balancing Innovation and Security. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(October), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.1024.2926>
- Jain, N., & Patil, S. (2024). Arti cial Intelligence Models for Fraud Detection : Advancements , Challenges , and Future Prospects. *International Journal of Global Innovations and Solutions (IJGIS)*, 1–6.
- Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Suman, R., & Gonzalez, E. S. (2022). Understanding the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies in improving environmental sustainability. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3(January), 203–217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.01.008>
- Kalluri, K. (2024). *AI-Driven Risk Assessment Model for Financial Fraud Detection : a Data Science Perspective*. 12(12), 1765–1774. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijstrm/v12i12.ec01>
- Mhatre, A. L. (2024). Using AI to Combat Medicaid Fraud , Waste , and Abuse. *International Journal of Advancements in Computational Technology*, 2(November 2024), 2–5. <https://doi.org/10.56472/25838628/IJACT-V2I4P112>
- Mochihashi, D. (2021). Natural Language Processing in Robotics. *Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan*, 39(5), 399–404. <https://doi.org/10.7210/jrsj.39.399>

- Morandín-Ahuerma, F. (2022). International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews What is Artificial Intelligence? *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 3(12), 5500. www.ijrpr.com
- Nwakeze, N., Obiora, E., Oshiole, O., Samuel, S., Orajekwe, O., & Chukwuebuka, J. (2023). Whistle Blowing System (Wb-S) and Fraud Prevention and Detection in Companies: Proposing A Conceptual Model for the Protection of Whistle Blowers. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(4), 1017–1027. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.2023.4.4.35142>
- Oktaviani, Y., & Dewi, M. K. (2023). Is information transparency important for funders? A case study of sharia P2P lending companies in Indonesia. *Journal of Accounting and Investment*, 24(2), 462–486. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jai.v24i2.17220>
- Qatawneh, A. M. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence in auditing and fraud detection in accounting information systems: moderating role of natural language processing. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-03-2024-4389>
- Reznikov, R. (2025). Enhancing Project Management Success through Artificial Intelligence. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 6(6), 1036–1046.
- Scherbarth, S., & Behringer, S. (2021). Whistleblowing systems: A systematic literature review on the design specifications and the consideration of the risk for organizational insiders to blow the whistle. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 18(2), 60–73. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv18i2art5>
- Shah, Q. (2024). *Predictive Analytics and AI Integration in Fraud Detection and Risk Assessment for Financial Services*. September. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27898.91840>
- Subhaktiyasa, P. G. (2024). *PLS-SEM for Multivariate Analysis : A Practical Guide to Educational Research using SmartPLS*. 4(3).
- van Bork, R., Rhemtulla, M., Waldorp, L. J., Kruijs, J., Rezvanifar, S., & Borsboom, D. (2021). Latent Variable Models and Networks: Statistical Equivalence and Testability. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 56(2), 175–198. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2019.1672515>
- Vanita. (2024). An Extant of Natural Language Processing. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(2), 62–69. <https://www.ijfmr.com/research-paper.php?id=18326>
- Yang, X., Zhang, C., Sun, Y., Pang, K., Jing, L., Wa, S., & Lv, C. (2023). FinChain-BERT: A High-Accuracy Automatic Fraud Detection Model Based on NLP Methods for Financial Scenarios. *Information (Switzerland)*, 14(9), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14090499>

Book

- Cheung, G. W., Cooper-Thomas, H. D., Lau, R. S., & Wang, L. C. (2024). Reporting reliability, convergent and discriminant validity with structural equation modeling: A review and best-
-

practice recommendations. In *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* (Vol. 41, Issue 2). Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-023-09871-y>

Rönkkö, M., & Cho, E. (2022). An Updated Guideline for Assessing Discriminant Validity. In *Organizational Research Methods* (Vol. 25, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428120968614>

Conference Paper/Proceeding

Handoko, B. L., Febriyenne, E. C., & Ayuanda, N. (2024). Enhancing Fraud Prevention : Exploring the Interplay of Internal Control System , Organizational Culture , Internal Audit Roles and Online Whistleblowing Mechanisms. *2024 6th Asia Conference on Machine Learning and Computing (ACMLC 2024)*, July 26-28, 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3690771.3690783>